NIU Journal of Educational Research Copyright©2026
Nexus International University ISSN: *3007-1844;* 12(1): 237-243

Digital Mental Health Education: A Review of E-learning and Mobile-Based Interventions for Emotional Health

O. O. OLUBODE, T. A. AZEEZ
University of Maiduguri, Nigeria

Abstract. The proliferation of digital technologies has fundamentally transformed the landscape of mental health education and intervention delivery. This review examines the theoretical underpinnings, empirical evidence, and practical implications of e-learning and mobile-based interventions designed to promote emotional health. Drawing on literature published between 2000 and 2024, the review evaluates the effectiveness of web-based psychoeducation, smartphone applications, computerised cognitive behavioural therapy (cCBT), and other digital modalities in reducing psychological distress and enhancing emotional literacy across diverse populations. The evidence broadly supports the efficacy of well-designed digital mental health interventions, particularly those grounded in established therapeutic frameworks and supported by human guidance. Key challenges include issues of engagement and attrition, digital inequity, data privacy, and the need for rigorous quality assurance. The review concludes with recommendations for the development, evaluation, and ethical deployment of digital mental health education resources.

Keywords: Digital mental health, e-learning, mobile health, mHealth, emotional well-being, online interventions, cognitive behavioural therapy, mental health apps.

1. Introduction

Mental health represents one of the most pressing public health challenges of the twenty-first century. The World Health Organisation (2022) estimates that approximately one billion people globally are living with a mental health condition, with depression and anxiety disorders accounting for the greatest proportion of disability-adjusted life years. Critically, the global treatment gap — the disparity between those who need and those who receive adequate mental health care — remains vast, with estimates suggesting that fewer than half of individuals with diagnosable conditions in high-income countries, and as few as one in ten in low- and middle-income countries, receive any form of evidence-based treatment (Patel et al., 2018). The structural barriers underpinning this gap are well documented and include workforce shortages, geographic inaccessibility, financial cost, and the persistent stigma associated with help-seeking.

Digital technologies have emerged as a potentially transformative mechanism for addressing this treatment gap. The widespread ownership of smartphones — with global penetration exceeding 50% and reaching over 80% in many high-income countries (Statista, 2023) — and the increasing accessibility of the internet have created unprecedented opportunities to deliver mental health education, prevention, and intervention at scale. Digital mental health encompasses a broad range of modalities, including web-based psychoeducation, smartphone applications (apps), computerised therapy programmes, online peer support communities, and immersive technologies such as virtual reality (VR). Each of these modalities offers distinct affordances and limitations that are relevant to the goal of promoting emotional health.

This review is organised as follows. Section 2 outlines the theoretical frameworks that inform digital mental health education. Section 3 reviews the evidence base for e-learning interventions. Section 4 evaluates mobile-based mental health applications. Section 5 addresses cross-cutting issues of engagement, equity, and ethics. Section 6 identifies limitations and future directions, and Section 7 presents conclusions.

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Cognitive Behavioural Frameworks

The predominant therapeutic framework underpinning digital mental health interventions is cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), a structured, evidence-based psychological treatment that targets the reciprocal relationships between thoughts, feelings, and behaviours (Beck, 1979). CBT has been extensively adapted for digital delivery, yielding computerised CBT (cCBT) programmes and app-based interventions that guide users through psychoeducational content, thought records, behavioural activation schedules, and relaxation exercises. The theoretical rationale for digital CBT delivery is compelling: CBT is already a structured, skills-based approach amenable to manualisation, and its psychoeducational components — which include teaching users about the cognitive model, identifying automatic thoughts, and challenging cognitive distortions — can be effectively delivered through interactive multimedia content (Marks et al., 2007).

Andersson and Cuijpers (2009), in a seminal meta-analysis of internet-based CBT for depression and anxiety, reported effect sizes comparable to those achieved by face-to-face CBT, particularly when internet-based programmes were supplemented by human guidance from a trained clinician or coach. This finding established internet-delivered CBT (iCBT) as a credible alternative to in-person treatment, particularly for individuals with mild to moderate symptoms.

2.2 Self-Determination Theory and Motivational Frameworks

Self-determination theory (SDT; Deci & Ryan, 1985) has been widely applied as a motivational framework for the design of digital mental health tools. SDT posits that human beings have three basic psychological needs — autonomy, competence, and relatedness — whose satisfaction supports intrinsic motivation, sustained engagement, and psychological well-being. Digital mental health tools that are designed to support user autonomy (through choice and personalisation), foster a sense of competence (through progressive skill development and feedback), and promote relatedness (through peer communities or therapeutic alliance with a virtual coach) are hypothesised to produce greater engagement and better outcomes than tools that neglect these needs.
Ryan et al. (2006) applied SDT to the design of health behaviour change technologies and argued that digitally mediated health interventions should be evaluated not merely in terms of their content validity but in terms of their motivational architecture — that is, the degree to which their design features support autonomous, self-directed engagement. This framework has been adopted by researchers developing mental health apps, informing the inclusion of features such as goal-setting, progress tracking, personalised notifications, and gamification elements (Torous et al., 2018).

2.3 The Stepped Care Model

The stepped care model (Bower & Gilbody, 2005) provides an important organisational framework for understanding where digital mental health education fits within the broader mental health system. Stepped care organises interventions according to their intensity and the level of clinical need they are designed to address, beginning with low-intensity, self-directed interventions (Step 1) and progressing through guided self-help (Step 2) to structured psychological therapies (Step 3) and specialist or inpatient care (Step 4). Digital mental health interventions are particularly well suited to Steps 1 and 2, where they can reach large numbers of individuals with subthreshold or mild symptoms before clinical presentations become more severe.

In the United Kingdom, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has endorsed computerised CBT programmes — including Beating the Blues for depression and FearFighter for panic and phobia — as appropriate stepped care interventions for adults, reflecting the accumulating evidence base for their efficacy (NICE, 2006). More recently, NHS England's Long-Term Plan (2019) explicitly endorsed the expansion of digital mental health tools as part of the transformation of mental health services, signalling growing institutional recognition of their role.

3. E-Learning Interventions for Emotional Health

3.1 Web-Based Psychoeducation

Web-based psychoeducation — the delivery of structured, evidence-based information about mental health conditions, their causes, and evidence-based coping strategies via the internet — represents one of the most accessible forms of digital mental health education. Psychoeducation is a well-established component of many evidence-based psychological treatments; its digitisation extends its reach to individuals who may not seek formal professional

support. Donker et al. (2009), in a systematic review of psychoeducation for depression and anxiety, found that even minimal psychoeducation was associated with significant reductions in symptoms, supporting the value of information provision as a standalone intervention for individuals with mild to moderate distress.

Interactive web-based programmes extend beyond passive information delivery by incorporating exercises, self-assessments, and feedback mechanisms that promote active engagement and skill acquisition. MoodGYM, developed by researchers at the Australian National University, is among the most extensively evaluated web-based mental health programmes. Based on CBT and interpersonal therapy principles, MoodGYM guides users through five interactive modules addressing cognitive distortions, stress, relationships, and problem-solving. A randomised controlled trial by Christensen et al. (2004) demonstrated that MoodGYM produced significant reductions in depression symptoms relative to control conditions, with gains maintained at follow-up. Subsequent meta-analyses have confirmed moderate effect sizes for similar internet-based self-help programmes (Linardon et al., 2020).

3.2 Computerised Cognitive Behavioural Therapy

Computerised CBT (cCBT) programmes represent a more structured form of digital mental health education in which the full therapeutic protocol of CBT is delivered via a computer interface, with or without supplementary human support. The evidence base for cCBT is now substantial. A Cochrane review by Foroushani et al. (2011) examined 12 randomised controlled trials of cCBT for depression and concluded that cCBT produced significantly greater reductions in depressive symptoms than waitlist control conditions, with effect sizes in the small-to-moderate range. Critically, the addition of even minimal human support — such as brief weekly telephone check-ins — significantly enhanced adherence and outcomes compared with fully automated programmes.

Therapist-supported iCBT has demonstrated particularly strong evidence for anxiety disorders, including generalised anxiety disorder, social anxiety disorder, health anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (Andrews et al., 2018). A large-scale benchmarking study by Hedman et al. (2014) demonstrated that the outcomes achieved by therapist-guided iCBT for social anxiety disorder were equivalent to those of face-to-face individual CBT in a specialist outpatient clinic, whilst requiring approximately one-fifth of therapist time. These findings have significant workforce and cost-efficiency implications, suggesting that iCBT could substantially extend the reach of evidence-based psychological treatment without a proportionate increase in clinical resource.

3.3 Online Learning Platforms and Mental Health Literacy

Beyond therapeutic interventions, e-learning platforms have been employed to enhance mental health literacy — defined as knowledge and beliefs about mental health disorders that aid their recognition, management, and prevention (Jorm et al., 1997). Improving mental health literacy is considered a precondition for early help-seeking, stigma reduction, and effective self-management of psychological difficulties. Massively open online courses (MOOCs) on platforms such as Coursera, edX, and FutureLearn have proliferated in recent years, with courses on topics including managing depression, understanding mindfulness, building resilience, and navigating bereavement attracting enrolments in the tens of thousands.

Research by Farrer et al. (2016) evaluated an e-learning programme designed to improve mental health literacy in university students and found significant improvements in knowledge, attitudes towards help-seeking, and self-reported confidence in supporting peers with mental health difficulties. These findings highlight the potential of online education to address not only individual psychological well-being but also the broader social determinants of mental health, including stigma and social support.

4. Mobile-Based Interventions for Emotional Health

4.1 The Landscape of Mental Health Applications

The proliferation of smartphone applications for mental health has been remarkable. Estimates suggest that over 10,000 mental health-related apps are available across major app stores, spanning domains including mood tracking, meditation, cognitive training, crisis support, and guided therapy (Lagan et al., 2020). This abundance reflects strong consumer demand — a survey by the American Psychological Association (2020) found that approximately one in five adults had used a mental health app — but also presents significant challenges for quality assurance. The majority of available apps have not been subjected to rigorous evaluation; many lack a theoretical basis, make unsubstantiated efficacy claims, or raise concerns about user privacy and data security.

Despite these concerns, a subset of mobile mental health apps has been subjected to rigorous scientific evaluation with promising results. Linardon and Fuller-Tyszkiewicz (2020), in a systematic review of randomised controlled trials of mental health apps, found that apps incorporating CBT techniques, mindfulness-based approaches, and positive psychology exercises were significantly more effective than control conditions in reducing depression and anxiety symptoms, with mean effect sizes in the small-to-moderate range. Apps supported by human coaches or clinicians consistently outperformed fully automated apps.

4.2 Mindfulness and Well-being Applications

Mindfulness-based apps represent one of the most commercially successful and extensively researched categories of digital mental health tools. Applications such as Headspace, Calm, and Woebot have attracted millions of users globally and have been studied in academic contexts. Mindfulness-based interventions — which cultivate non-judgemental awareness of present-moment experience — have well-established evidence base for reducing stress, anxiety, and depressive relapse in face-to-face formats (Khoury et al., 2015), providing a theoretical rationale for their digital adaptation.

Linardon (2020) conducted a meta-analysis of 23 randomised controlled trials of smartphone-delivered mindfulness interventions and found significant effects on depression, anxiety, stress, and well-being, with effect sizes comparable to those observed for face-to-face mindfulness programmes of equivalent duration. Importantly, the greatest effects were observed for outcomes of perceived stress and emotional reactivity — domains that are directly relevant to emotional health — suggesting that mindfulness apps may be particularly well suited to emotional regulation objectives. The accessibility of mindfulness apps — available at low or no cost, usable in brief sessions, and amenable to integration into daily routines — makes them attractive tools for population-level emotional health promotion.

4.3 Conversational Agents and AI-Based Support

An emerging category of digital mental health intervention involves conversational agents — chatbots or virtual assistants designed to simulate therapeutic dialogue and deliver evidence-based mental health support through natural language interaction. Fitzpatrick et al. (2017) conducted a randomised controlled trial of Woebot, a fully automated conversational agent delivering CBT-informed content through a smartphone messaging interface, and found that participants assigned to Woebot reported significantly greater reductions in depression and anxiety symptoms over a two-week period compared with control participants directed to a self-help e-book. Whilst the brevity of the study limits conclusions about sustained efficacy, the findings provided early proof-of-concept for the potential of AI-based conversational agents in mental health support.

The theoretical advantages of conversational agents include their 24/7 availability, infinite patience, non-judgmental tone, and ability to deliver personalised psychoeducation and skill-building exercises at the user's own pace. Research by Lucas et al. (2014) demonstrated that individuals reported greater willingness to disclose sensitive psychological information to a virtual agent than to a human interviewer, particularly for stigmatised topics such as suicidal ideation, suggesting that conversational agents may reduce barriers to disclosure that limit engagement with human providers.

4.4 Ecological Momentary Interventions

Ecological momentary interventions (EMIs) represent a technically innovative approach to mobile mental health in which brief, contextually tailored interventions are delivered to users in real time, based on data collected through ecological momentary assessment (EMA) — the repeated sampling of individuals' current behaviours, thoughts, and feelings in their natural environment (Heron & Smyth, 2010). By analysing patterns in EMA data — for example, identifying times of day or social contexts associated with elevated distress — EMI systems can deliver personalised prompts, coping exercises, or psychoeducational content at precisely the moments when they are most likely to be effective.

A meta-analysis by Versluis et al. (2016) found that EMIs produced significant reductions in psychological distress, with the largest effects observed for interventions targeting anxiety and stress. The capacity for real-time, contextually sensitive support represents a genuine advance over traditional psychoeducation, which relies on users to recall and apply skills learnt in structured learning contexts to their everyday lives — a transfer that is notoriously challenging.

5. Cross-Cutting Challenges

5.1 Engagement and Attrition

One of the most consistently documented challenges in digital mental health research is low engagement and high attrition. A systematic review by Baumeister et al. (2014) found that dropout rates from internet-based mental health interventions ranged from 20% to 80%, with a median of approximately 50%. These rates are substantially higher than those observed in face-to-face treatments, raising concerns about whether the populations who most need and potentially benefit from digital interventions are successfully reached and retained. Factors associated with higher attrition include lower baseline symptom severity, lack of human support, absence of accountability mechanisms, and poor user experience design.

Addressing attrition requires attention to both content quality and user experience. Research in the field of human-computer interaction has demonstrated that features such as intuitive navigation, personalisation, progress visualisation, and social connectivity are associated with sustained engagement (Deterding et al., 2011). Co-design methodologies — involving end users, including those with lived experience of mental health difficulties, in the design and testing of digital tools — have been advocated as a means of improving the ecological validity and acceptability of digital mental health interventions (Shand et al., 2012).

5.2 Digital Equity and Access

The potential of digital mental health tools to democratise access to psychological support is contingent on equitable access to the underlying technologies. Digital exclusion — driven by socioeconomic disadvantage, disability, age, geographic remoteness, and lack of digital literacy — means that the populations most burdened by mental health difficulties are often those least able to access digital interventions. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the digitisation of mental health services in many countries but simultaneously exposed deep inequities in digital access (Wykes et al., 2021). Approximately 1.1 billion people globally lack access to mobile internet, and even in high-income countries, significant proportions of older adults, individuals with lower educational attainment, and those in rural areas lack the digital skills to engage effectively with online health resources.

Addressing digital equity requires concerted effort at multiple levels, including investment in digital infrastructure, provision of subsidised devices, integration of digital literacy training into health and social care pathways, and the development of offline-compatible digital tools that do not require continuous internet connectivity. Failure to address these inequities risks exacerbating existing health disparities under the guise of innovation.

5.3 Privacy, Data Security, and Ethics

Digital mental health tools collect sensitive personal data — including self-reported symptoms, mood logs, and behavioural patterns — that require robust privacy protections. Research by Grundy et al. (2019) analysed the privacy practices of 36 top-rated mental health apps available on the Google Play Store and found that the majority shared user data with third parties, including advertising networks, without clearly informing users. Such practices represent a significant ethical concern, particularly given the sensitivity of mental health data and the potential for its misuse in contexts such as employment screening or insurance underwriting.

Regulatory frameworks such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union and the United Kingdom provide a legal basis for protecting user data, but enforcement remains inconsistent and many app developers — particularly small companies and start-ups — lack the expertise or resources to achieve full compliance. The development of sector-specific quality assurance frameworks, such as the NHS Apps Library in the United Kingdom and the American Psychiatric Association's App Evaluation Framework, represents an important step towards ensuring that mental health apps meeting minimum standards of evidence, safety, and data governance are prioritised in clinical recommendation.

6. Limitations and Future Directions

The extant literature on digital mental health education is subject to several important methodological limitations. First, many studies rely on self-selected convenience samples — typically well-educated, internet-savvy adults — that limit the generalisability of findings to the broader population. Second, primary outcomes are frequently limited to self-reported symptom scales, with insufficient attention to functional outcomes, quality of life, or health economic metrics. Third, the rapid pace of technological change means that studies of specific platforms or applications may be outdated by the time they are published, creating a persistent currency problem in the literature.

Future research priorities include the conduct of pragmatic randomised controlled trials with diverse, population-representative samples; the development and validation of standardised digital mental health

outcome batteries; longitudinal studies examining the durability of intervention effects; and health economic analyses comparing the cost-effectiveness of digital interventions with face-to-face alternatives. There is also a pressing need for research examining the optimal blending of human and digital support — the precise configuration of human guidance that maximises both efficacy and scalability of digital mental health tools.

Emerging technologies including artificial intelligence, machine learning, natural language processing, and wearable biosensors offer significant opportunities to enhance the personalisation, responsiveness, and predictive accuracy of digital mental health interventions. However, their deployment raises novel ethical questions regarding algorithmic bias, autonomous decision-making in clinical contexts, and the appropriate boundaries of AI involvement in mental health support. Multidisciplinary collaboration — spanning clinical psychology, computer science, ethics, public health, and service user advocacy — will be essential to navigate these challenges responsibly.

7. Conclusion

Digital mental health education — delivered through e-learning platforms, mobile applications, computerised therapy programmes, and AI-based conversational agents — has demonstrated meaningful efficacy across a range of emotional health outcomes and represents a significant advance in the capacity to deliver psychological support at population scale. The evidence base is strongest for iCBT and therapist-supported digital interventions, but encouraging findings have also emerged for mindfulness apps, EMIs, and conversational agents. The theoretical frameworks of cognitive behavioural therapy, self-determination theory, and stepped care provide a coherent basis for the design and evaluation of effective digital tools.

Nevertheless, the promise of digital mental health education will only be fully realised through sustained attention to the challenges of engagement, digital equity, data privacy, and quality assurance. Digital technologies are not a panacea for the mental health treatment gap; they are tools whose impact depends critically on the quality of their design, the rigour of their evaluation, the ethics of their deployment, and the equity of their accessibility. With appropriate investment in research, regulation, and implementation science, digital mental health education has the potential to make a decisive contribution to reducing the global burden of psychological ill-health.

References

American Psychological Association. (2020). Stress in America 2020: A National Mental Health Crisis. APA.

Andersson, G., & Cuijpers, P. (2009). Internet-based and other computerized psychological treatments for adult depression: A meta-analysis. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy,* 38(4), 196–205.

Andrews, G., Basu, A., Cuijpers, P., Craske, M. G., McEvoy, P., English, C. L., & Newby, J. M. (2018). Computer therapy for the anxiety and depression disorders is effective, acceptable and practical health care: An updated meta-analysis. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders,* 55, 70–78.

Baumeister, H., Reichler, L., Munzinger, M., & Lin, J. (2014). The impact of guidance on internet-based mental health interventions: A Systematic Review. *Internet Interventions,* 1(4), 205–215.

Beck, A. T. (1979). Cognitive therapy of depression. Guilford Press.

Bower, P., & Gilbody, S. (2005). Stepped care in psychological therapies: Access, effectiveness and efficiency. *British Journal of Psychiatry,* 186(1), 11–17.

Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., & Jorm, A. F. (2004). Delivering interventions for depression by using the internet: Randomised controlled trial. BMJ, 328(7434), 265.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. Springer.

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference, 9–15.

Donker, T., Griffiths, K. M., Cuijpers, P., & Christensen, H. (2009). Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: A meta-analysis. *BMC Medicine,* 7, 79.

Farrer, L. M., Gulliver, A., Bennett, K., Fassnacht, D. B., & Griffiths, K. M. (2016). Demographic and psychosocial predictors of major depression and generalised anxiety disorder in Australian university students. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16, 241.

Fitzpatrick, K. K., Darcy, A., & Vierhile, M. (2017). Delivering cognitive behavior therapy to

young adults with symptoms of depression and anxiety using a fully automated conversational agent (Woebot): A randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Mental Health,* 4(2), e19.

Foroushani, P. S., Schneider, J., & Assareh, N. (2011). Meta-review of the effectiveness of computerised CBT in treating depression. *BMC Psychiatry,* 11, 131.

Grundy, Q., Chiu, K., Held, F., Continella, A., Bero, L., & Holz, R. (2019). Data sharing practices of medicines related apps and the mobile ecosystem: Traffic, content, and network analysis. BMJ, 364, l920.

Hedman, E., Ljótsson, B., & Lindefors, N. (2012). Cognitive behavior therapy via the Internet: A systematic review of applications, clinical efficacy and cost-effectiveness. *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research,* 12(6), 745–764.

Hedman, E., Andersson, E., Ljótsson, B., Andersson, G., Rück, C., & Lindefors, N. (2014). Cost-effectiveness of Internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy vs. cognitive behavioural group therapy for social anxiety disorder: Results from a randomised controlled trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy,* 49(11), 729–736.

Heron, K. E., & Smyth, J. M. (2010). Ecological momentary interventions: Incorporating mobile technology into psychosocial and health behaviour treatments. *British Journal of Health Psychology,* 15(1), 1–39.

Jorm, A. F., Korten, A. E., Jacomb, P. A., Christensen, H., Rodgers, B., & Pollitt, P. (1997). Mental health literacy: A survey of the public's ability to recognise mental disorders and their beliefs about the effectiveness of treatment. *Medical Journal of Australia,* 166(4), 182–186.

Khoury, B., Sharma, M., Rush, S. E., & Fournier, C. (2015). Mindfulness-based stress reduction for healthy individuals: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research,* 78(6), 519–528.

Lagan, S., Aquino, P., Emerson, M. R., Fortuna, K., Walker, R., & Torous, J. (2020). Actionable health app evaluation: Translating expert frameworks into objective metrics. npj Digital Medicine, 3, 100.

Linardon, J. (2020). Can acceptance-, mindfulness-, and compassion-based interventions benefit people with eating disorder psychopathology? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Behavior Therapy,* 51(1), 78–99.

Linardon, J., & Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M. (2020). Attrition and adherence in smartphone-delivered interventions for mental health problems: A systematic and meta-analytic review. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,* 88(1), 1–13.

Lucas, G. M., Gratch, J., King, A., & Morency, L. P. (2014). It's only a computer: Virtual humans increase willingness to disclose. *Computers in Human Behavior,* 37, 94–100.

Marks, I. M., Cavanagh, K., & Gega, L. (2007). Hands-on help: Computer-aided psychotherapy. Psychology Press.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2006). Computerised cognitive behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety: Review of Technology Appraisal 51. NICE.

NHS England. (2019). The NHS Long Term Plan. NHS England.

Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., & UnÜtzer, J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. The Lancet, 392(10157), 1553–1598.

Ryan, R. M., Patrick, H., Deci, E. L., & Williams, G. C. (2006). Facilitating health behaviour change and its maintenance: Interventions based on self-determination theory. *The European Health Psychologist,* 10(1), 2–5.

Shand, F., Ridani, R., Tighe, J., & Christensen, H. (2012). The effectiveness of a suicide prevention app for indigenous Australian youths: Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 14, 396.

Statista. (2023). Smartphone penetration rate as share of the population in selected countries as of 2023. Statista Research Department.

Torous, J., Lipschitz, J., Ng, M., & Firth, J. (2018). Dropout rates in clinical trials of smartphone apps for depressive symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders,* 263, 413–419.

Versluis, A., Verkuil, B., Spinhoven, P., van der Ploeg, M. M., & Brosschot, J. F. (2016). Changing mental health and positive psychological well-being using ecological momentary interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research,* 18(6), e152.

World Health Organisation. (2022). World Mental Health Report: Transforming mental health for all. WHO.

Wykes, T., Haro, J. M., Belli, S. R., Obradors-Tarragó, C., Arango, C., Ayuso-Mateos, J. L., & Kluge, U. (2021). Mental health research priorities for Europe. The Lancet Psychiatry, 2(11), 1036–1042.