



## Theoretical Sampling Strategies in Qualitative Interviews: Enhancing Data Richness and Theoretical Saturation

CAROLINE OCHUKO ALORDIAH, JOHN OJI  
University of Delta, Agbor, Nigeria

**Abstract.** This research paper explores the role of theoretical sampling in qualitative interview-based research, emphasizing its significance in ensuring data richness and theoretical saturation. Theoretical sampling, as a purposeful and iterative approach, allows researchers to strategically select participants based on emerging theoretical insights, resulting in robust theoretical frameworks grounded in empirical data. Real-world case studies illustrate the practical application of theoretical sampling in two distinct research inquiries, showcasing how this approach enables the exploration of diverse perspectives and experiences, enhancing the depth and complexity of qualitative research outcomes. By employing purposeful sampling strategies, such as maximum variation, homogeneous, criterion, and snowball sampling, researchers can capture nuanced insights and reach theoretical saturation. Methodological considerations and ethical implications are discussed, underscoring the importance of addressing ethical issues in qualitative interviews and data analysis. Ensuring rigor and trustworthiness in the research process and identifying potential biases contribute to the credibility of qualitative findings. The insights from this research paper have implications for researchers and practitioners, emphasizing the need for theoretical sensitivity, purposeful sampling strategies, ethical diligence, reflexive practices, and transparency in qualitative research. The transformative potential of theoretical sampling lies in its capacity to uncover hidden complexities, explore diverse perspectives, and contribute meaningfully to academic discourse and evidence-based practice, fostering the advancement of knowledge in diverse disciplines.

**Keywords:** Theoretical sampling, Qualitative research, Interview-based research, Data richness, Theoretical saturation, Purposeful sampling, Ethical considerations, Grounded theory

### 1. Introduction

A potent technique for getting in-depth understandings of human experiences, social events, and complex phenomena that cannot be fully represented using quantitative methodologies is qualitative interview-based research (Qureshi, 2018; Nelson, 2015). By conversing with participants, this research approach encourages individuals to share their ideas, sentiments, and viewpoints in their own words (Nelson et al., 2015). Qualitative interviews provide for a more in-depth knowledge of various viewpoints, cultures, and contexts by focusing on the subjective experiences of individuals and groups (Alordiah et al., 2023; Chai et al., 2021).

Qualitative research has grown in popularity throughout time in a number of academic fields, including sociology, psychology, anthropology, education, and medicine. (Castleberry & Nolen, 2018). In order to develop a nuanced and thorough knowledge of the researched phenomena, qualitative interview-based research has become essential as academics work to comprehend the complexities of human behavior and the social fabric.

Theoretical sampling, a crucial element of qualitative interview-based research, is essential for improving the rigor and depth of study findings (LaDonna et al., 2021). Theoretical sampling, in contrast to conventional random or convenience sampling, is driven by theoretical concerns rather than statistical representativeness (Robinson et al., 2014). Researchers can carefully choose participants using this deliberate selection technique based on new theoretical discoveries from ongoing data analysis.

The importance of theoretical sampling rests in its capacity to encourage the exploration and development of theoretical ideas while ensuring that

they are based on the rich empirical information gathered during interviews (Cleary et al., 2014). Theoretical sampling encourages researchers to look for fresh participants who may offer a variety of viewpoints, distinct experiences, and tough examples that test the limits of the developing theory through an iterative process (Ligita et al., 2019).

This research paper's main goal is to perform a thorough investigation of the theoretical underpinnings and deliberate sampling techniques used in qualitative interviews. We want to shed light on the epistemological foundation of theoretical sampling and its alignment with the principles of qualitative research by exploring the theoretical underpinnings. This article also seeks to clarify the several deliberate sampling techniques that researchers might use during qualitative interviews. Researchers are better equipped to choose participants and plan studies when they are aware of the intricacies of each technique and how well it fits into various research contexts. This paper aims to shed light on the fundamentals of theoretical sampling in qualitative interview-based research, provide academics with insightful information to improve their own research projects, and promote knowledge in the fields in which they work.

## 2. Qualitative Interview-Based Research

The concept of theoretical sampling, a deliberate and planned sampling technique that goes beyond the usual conceptions of random or convenience sampling, is the basis of qualitative interview-based research (Foley et al., 2021). Sociologists Barney G. Glaser and Anselm L. Strauss initially presented theoretical sampling in their important work, "The Discovery of Grounded Theory" (Mohajan & Mohajan, 2023; Glaser et al., 1968). The grounded theory method, stressing the integration of data collection and analysis to develop theoretical frameworks from the ground up, is at the core of theoretical sampling (Ligita et al., 2019). A sampling technique used in qualitative research, notably in grounded theory methodology, is theoretical sampling. On the basis of new theoretical understandings and the developing theoretical framework, study participants are chosen in an intentional and iterative manner. The main objective of theoretical sampling is to carefully acquire data that will help create and improve a theory that is born from the data itself (Davoudi et al., 2016). Theoretical sampling is flexible and dynamic, in contrast to conventional random or fixed sampling approaches. Researchers continually seek for new participants and data sources that can supply rich and diverse information connected to the changing

theoretical notions, which entails ongoing data collecting and analysis (Butler et al., 2018).

The theoretical sampling process starts with an initial sample of individuals, who are often selected based on accessibility or convenience. Theoretical ideas, themes, and patterns start to develop when the researcher begins to examine the data. The researcher now makes use of these growing hypotheses to direct the choice of future volunteers (Yang et al., 2021). The choice of new participants is not arbitrary; rather, it is deliberate and focused at finding individuals who can provide distinctive and different viewpoints that question, support, or expand the evolving theoretical framework (Butler et al., 2018). After analyzing the data gathered from the new participants, the theoretical sampling procedure is repeated until theoretical saturation is reached. When new data no longer provide significantly new insights or dimensions to the evolving theory, theoretical saturation occurs, indicating that the theoretical framework has established and finished (LaDonna et al., 2021).

The results of qualitative research are given more credibility and validity thanks to theoretical sampling, which enables researchers to construct a solid and well-grounded theoretical framework straight from the data (Foley et al., 2021; Moorley & Cathala, 2019; Qureshi, 2018; Davoudi et al., 2016). It is often employed in social sciences, anthropology, sociology, and other academic fields where scholars aim to comprehend intricate societal phenomena and intimate human experiences in-depth. Researchers actively choose people and places for theoretical sampling based on newly discovered theoretical insights from ongoing data analysis. With contrast to other sample methods, theoretical sampling is based on dynamic criteria that change as researchers get more and more engrossed in the data (Gentles et al., 2015). Researchers regularly switch between data gathering, analysis, and theory creation in this iterative and cyclical process to hone and deepen the emerging notions. The objective is to identify fresh contributors who can offer a variety of viewpoints and distinctive situations that test and broaden the theoretical knowledge of the issue under study.

The groundbreaking work of Glaser and Strauss in the 1960s can be linked to the historical growth of theoretical sampling. Their ground-breaking investigation into the experiences of people with chronic illnesses resulted in the establishment of theoretical sampling as a technique for methodically gathering information to advance grounded theory. By highlighting the significance of theoretical sensitivity

and theoretical sampling in the development of substantial theories, Glaser and Strauss' avant-garde methodology transformed qualitative research (Conlon et al., 2020). Since its introduction, several academics in the field of qualitative research have continued to develop and perfect theoretical sampling. Researchers like Adele E. Clarke and Kathy Charmaz have significantly advanced the theoretical and methodological elements of theoretical sampling and grounded theory (Bick, 2021; Huby, 2011). Due to these improvements, theoretical sampling has cemented its status as a reliable and rigorous method for examining complex social processes (Sedano et al., 2017).

Recognizing the philosophical basis of qualitative research is crucial in order to comprehend the epistemological justifications of theoretical sampling. The constructivist and interpretivist foundations of qualitative inquiry emphasize the subjective aspect of reality and the value of seeing social processes from the viewpoints of the participants (Ataro, 2020). According to its epistemology, qualitative research aims to discover the meanings and interpretations that people assign to their experiences, acts, and relationships. (Alordiah et al., 2023; Cugno & Thomas, 2016). By giving priority to the formation of theoretical conceptions based on the participants' actual experiences, theoretical sampling fully complements the interpretivist paradigm. It recognizes the interaction between the researcher and the participants and that gathering data and analyzing it are mutually inclusive processes. (Cowan et al., 2020). The co-construction of knowledge between the researcher and the participant is made possible by this epistemological attitude, which helps researchers to traverse the complexity of human experiences, cultural settings, and social interactions.

The role of theoretical frameworks, which act as the support structure for the deliberate sampling process, is essential to theoretical sampling. Theoretical frameworks are a collection of first notions or concepts that come to light during early data analysis and direct the choice of further participants. As fresh evidence is gathered and examined, these frameworks are continuously improved, resulting in a cohesive and developing theoretical structure. (Garvey & Jones, 2021).

The choice of participants is influenced by theoretical frameworks, which can have an impact on the size and focus of the study enquiry. They aid researchers in identifying information gaps, regions of theoretical saturation, and chances to investigate new facets of the phenomena (Alordiah, 2023; Alordiah & Ossai, 2023; van Rijnssoever, 2017). Researchers may triangulate

data from many sources and participants and the repetitive nature of theoretical sampling promotes a greater understanding of the underlying patterns and variances in the data. (Ligita et al., 2019).

### 3. Purposeful Sampling Strategies in Qualitative Interviews

In qualitative research, a systematic strategy called purposeful sampling is used to purposefully choose participants. Purposeful sampling entails a planned and careful selection method that is in line with the study aims, as opposed to random or convenience sampling, which depends on chance or ease of accessible (Alordiah et al., 2023). Purposeful sampling's main objective is to make sure that the selected participants deliver worthwhile and pertinent information, enhancing the variety and depth of insights obtained through qualitative interviews. (Benoot et al., 2016).

Maximum variety sampling is a deliberate sampling technique that aims to include people with a range of views, viewpoints, and life events. With this strategy, participants are purposefully chosen who have a wide range of important characteristics, such as age, gender, socioeconomic level, educational attainment, or geography. (Lam et al., 2014). Maximum variety sampling tries to capture the complete range of experiences and opinions relevant to the study issue by recruiting a diverse group of participants.

The ability to produce thorough insights and a detailed understanding of the topic under study is the heart of maximum variation sampling. Researchers can find patterns, themes, and commonalities that go beyond participant differences by evaluating the similarities and differences among individuals. This sample technique enables researchers to investigate the subtleties and differences present in the setting being researched, producing data that is rich and multidimensional. (Plakoyiannaki & Budhwar, 2021).

Contrary to maximum variation sampling, homogeneous sampling entails carefully choosing individuals who have similar features, attributes, or experiences that are pertinent to the study issue. This strategy seeks to thoroughly examine the similarities, shared experiences, or viewpoints within a certain subgroup of interest. Researchers may dive extensively into the distinctive characteristics and subtleties of a particular demographic or social group using homogeneous sampling, illuminating their distinctive viewpoints and actions. (Benoot et al., 2016). Researchers can find deep and context-specific insights by focusing on a homogenous group that

would be missed in more varied samples. This focused technique is particularly useful for examining phenomena that are culturally distinctive or investigating subgroups that have common experiences since it offers a thorough grasp of the common ideas, values, and behaviors within the group.

Utilizing preset criteria that are crucial to the study issue, participants are chosen through criterion sampling. The qualities, experiences, actions, or even particular occurrences connected to the phenomena under study might serve as these criteria. Before gathering data, the researcher defines these standards and bases participant selection on them. (Jamrozy & Lawonk, 2017). When doing research to examine a particular element or aspects of the phenomena being examined, criteria sampling is useful because it enables the researcher to concentrate on individuals who have experienced or displayed the pertinent criterion. (Oostrom et al., 2015). As opposed to using larger sampling techniques, researchers can more effectively collect data by focusing on people who fit certain criteria, which saves time and money.

Chain referral sampling, usually referred to as snowball sampling, is a deliberate sampling approach frequently used to explore groups who are difficult to reach or persons who are not readily available using conventional sample methods. The earliest participants in a snowball sample include (or "seeds") are selected based on their knowledge of the target population or group of interest (Alordiah et al., 2023; Naderifar et al., 2017). Following the initial interview, subjects are requested to recommend other possible subjects who have pertinent experiences or expertise about the study's subject. A snowball effect is produced as a result of the repetitive referral procedure, resulting in the participation of more people. Researching hidden or marginalized populations, such as drug users, crime victims, or members of stigmatized groups, might benefit greatly from snowball sampling.

#### **4. Theoretical Sampling in Relation to Other Sampling Techniques**

The intrinsic flexibility and adaptability of theoretical sampling sets it apart from other sampling methods. Theoretical sampling evolves dynamically in response to developing theoretical insights from ongoing data analysis, unlike other sampling procedures that are frequently decided upon at the beginning of the project and stay static during data collecting. (Ligita et al., 2019).

The link between theoretical sampling and deliberate sampling methods is one of enrichment rather than exclusion. Maximum variation, homogenous, criteria, and snowball sampling are a few examples of deliberate sampling techniques that are included in theoretical sampling and are used as parts of the iterative data collecting process. (Qureshi et al., 2018). Theoretical sampling is a potent and adaptable method in qualitative interview-based research because it allows researchers to continually develop and extend their theoretical knowledge through the strategic use of intentional sampling within the larger theoretical sampling framework. In qualitative interviews, deliberate sampling techniques provide a methodical and deliberate way to choose participants who are in line with the study's goals. Each technique has a specific goal, allowing researchers to customize their sample strategy to examine different angles of the study issue. Researchers can better understand the complexity and subtleties of human experiences, behaviours, and social phenomena through the interaction between theoretical sampling and intentional sampling techniques (Palinkas et al., 2015).

#### **5. Ensuring Data Richness through Theoretical Sampling**

The constant interplay between theoretical sampling and data collecting is key to ensure data richness in qualitative research. Theoretical sampling, in contrast to conventional sampling techniques, is constantly evolving in response to new theoretical understandings revealed by data analysis. As researchers conduct interviews and examine the data gathered, new trends, themes, and theoretical concepts appear. These observations help researchers choose subsequent participants by pointing them in the direction of people who may offer a variety of viewpoints and distinctive experiences that deepen theoretical comprehension. (Gentles et al., 2015).

Researchers may explore and evaluate new theoretical notions in real-time because to the iterative nature of theoretical sampling. Researchers can improve and broaden their theoretical frameworks by actively searching out data that contradicts or supports preexisting theoretical assumptions. The richness and depth of the qualitative results are enhanced by this collaborative approach, which makes sure that the data is not only linked with the research topic but also firmly grounded in the theoretical foundations. (Ligita et al., 2019).

During qualitative interviews, developing rapport and trust with participants is essential for assuring data richness. They can freely share their experiences and

points of view in a safe environment when participants are treated with kindness and empathy. Participants are more inclined to open up and express themselves honestly when they feel appreciated and at ease, which produces data that is more detailed and complex.

By showing empathy, active listening, and a sincere interest in the participants' stories, researchers can build rapport with their subjects. Participants can discuss delicate or personal experiences in a supportive and judgment-free setting, adding to the depth and complexity of the data. Additionally, researchers should be open and honest about their goals for the study, ensuring that participants are aware of its purpose and how their input will influence its findings.

In order to get participants to respond with rich, in-depth information during qualitative interviews, probing tactics and open-ended questions are essential. By asking follow-up questions, probing encourages participants to clarify unclear remarks, expand on their original replies, or consider fresh angles on their experiences. Participants may think more thoroughly about their experiences thanks to this repeated process of probing and elaboration, which reveals underlying levels of meaning. (Foley et al., 2021).

Open-ended questions provide participants the option to react in their own words and express their experiences truthfully, as opposed to closed-ended questions that generate brief and specific replies. These questions' open-ended format encourages participants to express their ideas, sentiments, and viewpoints without regard to preconceived limitations, resulting in information that is contextually rich and significant.

Researchers must use techniques that draw out subtleties, feelings, and contextual information from qualitative data in order to ensure data richness. A special chance to dive into the complexity and subjective elements of human experiences is provided by qualitative interviews. (Plakoyiannaki & Budhwar, 2021). Researchers can achieve this by employing various techniques such as:

**Thick description:** Including colourful and in-depth accounts of people' experiences and environments to show how rich the background is. (Roystonn et al., 2021).

**Reflexivity:** Acknowledging the researcher's influence on the research process and how their own perspectives may shape data collection and analysis (Cayir et al., 2021).

**Documenting non-verbal cues:** Examining body language, gestures, and nonverbal cues during

interviews to pick up on emotions and unsaid thoughts (Griffiths et al., 2020).

**Contextual inquiry:** To enhance the depth and complexity of the data, consider the larger social, cultural, and historical factors that affect participants' experiences. (Gümüşay & Amis, 2020).

The effectiveness of theoretical sampling depends heavily on the repetitive nature of data collecting in qualitative interviews. Researchers constantly participate in a process of theoretical creation and refinement as they gather and analyse evidence. Researchers are guided to discover holes in the current theoretical framework and to look for participants who can provide crucial data to fill those holes by new insights and developing patterns.

The iterative method also enables researchers to reach theoretical saturation, a condition in which accumulating more data no longer generates significantly fresh ideas or thoughts. The theoretical framework has achieved a point of completion when it reaches theoretical saturation, assuring rich data and a solid foundation for the study findings. (LaDonna et al., 2021).

Additionally, the repetitive nature of data collecting in theoretical sampling allows researchers to keep their technique flexible and adaptable. Researchers may modify their interview questions, sample standards, or data processing procedures when new theoretical notions emerge, promoting a more organic and responsive research process.

Building rapport and trust with participants, using probing approaches and open-ended questions, and utilizing tactics to capture subtleties, emotions, and contextual information are all necessary for ensuring data richness through theoretical sampling. Researchers can improve theoretical frameworks, reach theoretical saturation, and gain significant insights into the complexity of human experiences and social phenomena thanks to the repetitive nature of data gathering, which increases its adaptability and flexibility.

## 6. Theoretical Saturation: Reaching the Point of Knowledge Closure

A crucial idea in qualitative research is the concept of theoretical saturation, which describes the moment at which data collection and analysis have produced enough knowledge to create a thorough and cohesive theoretical framework. It is the point when researchers have examined a variety of viewpoints and experiences connected to the study issue and have

attained a degree of data saturation where additional data collecting does not yield any fresh or noticeably different insights. (Low et al., 2019).

The importance of theoretical saturation is found in its contribution to obtaining knowledge closure, which makes sure that the qualitative investigation has produced reliable and substantial results. Researchers can be sure they have fully investigated the topic under study and documented the different aspects and complexity inherent in the participants' experiences once they have reached theoretical saturation. As it reveals the breadth and depth of the data, theoretical saturation strengthens the validity and reliability of the study findings and produces a more persuasive and convincing theoretical understanding. (Weed, 2017).

Whether assisting researchers in determining when they have arrived to the point of knowledge closure, it is critical to identify indications and criteria for theoretical saturation. While theoretical saturation is a subjective concept that depends on the nature of the research question and the complexity of the studied phenomenon, several indicators can signal that saturation is approaching:

- A point of saturation may be nearing when data analysis shows that themes and patterns are repeatedly occurring across people and data sources. Recurring comparable findings show that the main concepts have been properly investigated.
- Theoretical saturation may be present when fresh facts only confirm or strengthen preexisting ideas and theories without offering any fundamentally new information. The information no longer offers significant new contributions to the field of study. (LaDonna et al., 2021).
- It shows that theoretical saturation is approaching as the theoretical framework gets more extensive and cohesive, embracing many aspects of the phenomena (Garvey & Jones, 2021).
- Saturation may have been reached when researchers notice they are no longer coming across novel theoretical insights or conceptual concepts when doing data analysis or conducting interviews (LaDonna et al., 2021).

To assess and confirm theoretical saturation, researchers can employ several strategies:

**Triangulation:** Findings are validated and supported by using a variety of data sources, including observations, interviews, and written materials.

Triangulation aids to confirming theoretical saturation and strengthens the validity of the theoretical framework.

**Member Checking:** Sharing early results and interpretations with participants to include them in the study process. Member checking increases the study's rigor and confirmability by enabling participants to validate the accuracy of the researcher's interpretations (Brear et al., 2019).

**Peer Debriefing:** Conversing with colleagues or other researchers to exchange and discuss newly discovered information. Peer debriefing offers a different viewpoint on the researcher's interpretations and can be used to spot biases or areas that need more inquiry (O'Connor et al., 2017).

**Negative Case Analysis:** Paying particular attention to data that questions or contradicts emerging theoretical conceptions. Negative case analysis can help avoid premature closure and make sure that all pertinent aspects of the phenomena have been investigated (Lebni et al., 2020).

**Researcher Reflexivity:** Reflective techniques should be used throughout the research process to recognize and take into account the researcher's impact on data gathering and analysis. Reflexivity aids in the critical assessment of researchers' presumptions and any biases, strengthening the theoretical framework (Cumming-Potvin et al., 2013).

In qualitative research, theoretical saturation is a desirable objective, but getting there can be difficult and have its constraints. One issue is that because theoretical saturation is subjective, different researchers may have different interpretations of when saturation is attained. Furthermore, the complexity of the study question and the variety of participants may have an impact on the amount of time and effort needed to reach saturation (Davoudi et al., 2016).

Additionally, time and resource limitations may prevent researchers from collecting and analyzing as much data as is required to attain theoretical saturation. Saturation in difficult-to-reach or concealed communities may occasionally be challenging due to access restrictions on populations or groups.

### Illustrations

Example: Unraveling the Impact of Remote Work on Teachers Well-Being

Research Question: How does the transition to remote work during the COVID-19 pandemic affect the well-being of teachers in different sectors?

In this case study, the researcher can investigate the impact of remote work on teachers well-being during

the COVID-19 pandemic. Theoretical sampling can be utilized to explore how this phenomenon varies across nursery, primary, secondary, and tertiary institutions. The researcher initially interviews teachers from various sectors, such as nursery, primary, secondary, and tertiary institutions, to gain a broad understanding of the challenges and benefits of remote work. As data analysis progresses, theoretical concepts such as "work-life integration," "perceived institutional support," and "technostress" emerge as central themes. To achieve theoretical saturation, the researcher strategically selects participants from teaching fields that have been underrepresented in the initial sample. For example, interviewing teachers in the private nursery, primary, secondary, and tertiary institutions, may reveal unique challenges related to remote collaboration and the impact on creativity and innovation. A thorough knowledge of the intricate interactions between work arrangements, well-being, and institutional assistance throughout the epidemic is possible through theoretical sampling, which enables the researcher to capture the intricacies of the distant work experience in many educational institutions.

In example, deliberate sampling allowed the researcher to investigate the special difficulties and possibilities related to remote teaching and learning in different educational sectors. A thorough investigation of the complex effects of remote work on teachers' well-being was made possible through theoretical sampling, which also revealed viable institutional actions to assist remote teachers efficiently. These examples make clear how important theoretical underpinnings are in guiding the research process and affecting the results. The systematic study of new theoretical ideas and subjects has been made easier by theoretical sampling, which is driven by grounded theory principles. It enabled the construction of robust and theoretical frameworks with a strong contextual foundation by enabling the researchers to repeatedly choose participants to reach data richness and theoretical saturation. Additionally, the depth and breadth of the study findings have increased as a result of the implementation of intentional sampling techniques within the theoretical sampling framework. The example have demonstrated the flexibility of purposeful sampling in gathering different viewpoints and producing nuanced understandings of complex phenomena by purposefully choosing participants based on theoretical ideas. The example show how theoretical sampling enables researchers to elucidate profound and contextually pertinent discoveries, advancing knowledge in their respective domains. An important reminder of the iterative and dynamic character of qualitative research, where theory and data continually feed and develop one another, is the

importance of theoretical underpinnings in the research process.

## **7. Methodological Considerations and Ethical Implications**

Qualitative interviews and theoretical sampling involve engaging with participants at a deeply personal level, making ethical considerations paramount in the research process. Researchers must prioritize the well-being, autonomy, and confidentiality of participants while conducting the study. Several ethical issues warrant attention: Researchers must obtain informed consent from participants, providing clear and comprehensive information about the study's purpose, procedures, risks, and benefits. Participants should have the freedom to voluntarily participate or withdraw at any stage without facing repercussions (Alordiah et al., 2022).

Ensuring confidentiality and anonymity is vital to protecting participants' privacy. Researchers should use pseudonyms and secure data storage methods to safeguard participants' identities (Elhai & Hall, 2015). After interviews, researchers should offer debriefing sessions to participants, addressing any emotional or psychological concerns that may have arisen during the research process. Providing access to support resources, such as counseling services, is essential when studying sensitive topics.

Researchers should be mindful of their power and influence in the research process, recognizing that participants may be vulnerable due to their experiences or backgrounds. Researchers must maintain respectful and egalitarian relationships with participants, avoiding exploitation or coercion (Ben-Ari & Enosh, 2013).

To enhance the rigor and trustworthiness of qualitative interviews and theoretical sampling, researchers can employ various strategies:

- Researchers should reflect on their own biases, assumptions, and experiences that may influence data collection and analysis. Transparently documenting researcher reflexivity allows readers to assess the potential impact of the researcher's background on the study (Folkes et al., 2022).
- Using multiple sources of data, such as interviews, observations, and documents, to cross-validate findings can enhance the credibility and reliability of the study's conclusions.

- Engaging participants in the research process by sharing preliminary findings and interpretations with them for validation. Member checking allows participants to verify the accuracy of their representations, adding to the study's trustworthiness.
- Seeking feedback from other researchers or experts in the field can offer valuable insights and constructive critique, strengthening the study's methodology and theoretical foundations (Gentles et al., 2015).

## 8. Conclusion

This research paper researched into the role of theoretical sampling in qualitative interview-based research, focusing on its significance in ensuring data richness and theoretical saturation. Theoretical sampling, as a purposeful and iterative sampling method, allows researchers to strategically select participants based on emerging theoretical insights, leading to the development of robust theoretical frameworks grounded in empirical data. The paper exemplified the practical application of theoretical sampling. The example demonstrated how theoretical sampling facilitates the exploration of diverse perspectives and experiences, enriching the depth and complexity of qualitative research outcomes. By employing purposeful sampling strategies such as maximum variation, homogeneous, criterion, and snowball sampling, researchers can capture nuanced insights and reach theoretical saturation. The paper also discussed methodological considerations and ethical implications, highlighting the importance of addressing ethical issues in qualitative interviews and data analysis. Ensuring rigor and trustworthiness in the research process, as well as identifying potential biases, contributes to the credibility of qualitative findings.

## 9. Implications for Researchers and Practitioners in the Field

The insights presented in this research paper hold several implications for researchers and practitioners in the field of qualitative research:

- Researchers should develop a high level of theoretical sensitivity, allowing them to identify and explore emerging theoretical concepts as data collection and analysis progress. The iterative nature of theoretical sampling necessitates a reflexive approach to data collection and theoretical development.
- Researchers should carefully consider the selection of purposeful sampling strategies

that align with the research objectives. The case studies provided a comparative analysis of different approaches, offering guidance for researchers in selecting the most appropriate strategy for their study.

- Ethical diligence in qualitative interviews and data analysis is paramount. Researchers must prioritize participants' well-being, privacy, and autonomy, adhering to informed consent, confidentiality, and debriefing practices.
- Researchers should engage in reflexive practices throughout the research process and transparently document their methodological choices. Reflexivity allows for a critical examination of the researcher's influence on the study, while transparency enhances the credibility and trustworthiness of the research.

## References

- Alordiah, C. O. (2023). Mind the gap: exploring effective strategies for conducting gap analysis in educational studies. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Education*, 7(1), 1-14
- Alordiah, C. O., & Ossai, J. N. (2023). Enhancing Questionnaire Design: Theoretical Perspectives on Capturing Attitudes and Beliefs in Social Studies Research. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10040292>
- Alordiah, C. O., Tibi, P. I., Omumu, F. C., Chenube, O., Moemeke, C. D., & Okokoyo, I. E. (2023). Qualitative research: a future road map for educational researchers in Nigeria. *University of Delta Journal of Contemporary Studies in Education (UDJCSE)*, 2(2), Available at <https://foeunidel.org.ng/edu/2023/09/30/17/>
- Alordiah, C. O., Omumu, F., Owamah, H. I., Chenube, O., Okokoyo, I. E., Osagiede, M. A., & Agbajor, H. T. (2022). Socio-cultural norms encouraging violence against women (VAW) from a popular ethnic group in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria and the roles of schools in preventing VAW. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2102299>
- Ataro, G. (2020). Methods, methodological challenges and lesson learned from phenomenological study about OSCE experience: Overview of paradigm-driven qualitative approach in medical education. *Annals of Medicine and*

- Surgery*, 49, 19–23.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2019.11.013>
- Ben-Ari, A., & Enosh, G. (2013). Power Relations and Reciprocity. *Qualitative Health Research*, 23(3), 422–429.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732312470030>
- Benoot, C., Hannes, K., & Bilsen, J. (2016). The use of purposeful sampling in a qualitative evidence synthesis: A worked example on sexual adjustment to a cancer trajectory. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 16(1).  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-016-0114-6>
- Bick, D. (2021). Reflections on the life, work, and influence of Professor Kathy Charmaz (1939–2020): Academic, Constructivist... Grounded Theorist. *QMIP Bulletin*, 1(31), 45–49.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.53841/bpsqmp.2021.1.31.45>
- Butler, A. E., Copnell, B., & Hall, H. (2018). The development of theoretical sampling in practice. *Collegian*, 25(5), 561–566.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colegn.2018.01.002>
- Castleberry, A., & Nolen, A. (2018). Thematic analysis of qualitative research data: Is it as easy as it sounds? *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching & Learning*, 10(6), 807–815
- Cayir, E., Felder, T. M., Nkwonta, C. A., Jackson, J. R., & Estrada, R. D. (2022). *Discovering New Connections: Insights From Individual and Collective Reflexivity in a Mixed Methods Study*. 21,  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069221105707>
- Chai, H. H., Gao, S. S., Chen, K. J., Duangthip, D., & Chu, C. H. (2021). A Concise Review on Qualitative Research in Dentistry. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(3), 942–942.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18030942>
- Cleary, M., Horsfall, J., & Hayter, M. (2014). Data collection and sampling in qualitative research: does size matter? *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 70(3), 473–475.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.12163>
- Conlon, C., Timonen, V., Elliott-O'Dare, C., O'keeffe, S., & Foley, G. (2020). Confused About Theoretical Sampling? Engaging Theoretical Sampling in Diverse Grounded Theory Studies. *Qualitative Health Research*, 30, 947–959.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732319899139>
- Cowan, T., Pope, M. A., MacDonald, K. F., Malla, A., Ferrari, M., & Iyer, S. N. (2020). Engagement in specialized early intervention services for psychosis as an interplay between personal agency and critical structures: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 108, 103583–103583.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103583>
- Cugno, R. J., & Thomas, K. S. (2016). A Book Review of Laura Ellingson's *Engaging Crystallization in Qualitative Research: An Introduction*. *The Qualitative Report*.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2009.2837>
- Cumming-Potvin, W. (2013). “New basics” and literacies: deepening reflexivity in qualitative research. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 13(2), 214–230.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/qrj-04-2013-0024>
- Davoudi, N., Nayeri, N., Raiesifar, A., Poortaghi, S., & Ahmadian, S. (2016). Issues of Theoretical Sampling: A Narrative Review. *Nursing and midwifery studies*, 6.  
<https://doi.org/10.5812/NMSJOURNAL.38284>
- Elhai, J. D., & Hall, B. J. (2015). How secure is mental health providers' electronic patient communication? An empirical investigation. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 46(6), 444–450.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/pro000054>
- Foley, G., Timonen, V., Conlon, C., & O'Dare, C. E. (2021). Interviewing as a Vehicle for Theoretical Sampling in Grounded Theory. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 20, 160940692098095–160940692098095.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406920980957>
- Garvey, C. M., & Jones, R. (2021). Is There a Place for Theoretical Frameworks in Qualitative Research? *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 20, 160940692098795–160940692098795.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406920987959>
- Gentles, S. J., Charles, C., Ploeg, J., & McKibbin, K. A. (2015). Sampling in Qualitative Research: Insights from an Overview of the Methods Literature. *The Qualitative Report*.

- <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2015.2373>
- Glaser, B. G., Strauss, A. L., & Strutzel, E. (1968). The Discovery of Grounded Theory; Strategies for Qualitative Research. *Nursing Research*, 17(4), 364–364. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1097/00006199-196807000-00014>
- Griffiths, S., Goh, S., & Norbury, C. (2020). Early language competence, but not general cognitive ability, predicts children's recognition of emotion from facial and vocal cues. *PeerJ*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9118>
- Gümüşay, A., & Amis, J. (2020). Contextual Expertise and the Development of Organization and Management Theory. *European Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12434>.
- Huby, G. (2011). Developing Grounded Theory: The Second Generation by Janice M. Morse, Phyllis Noerager Stern, Juliet Corbin, Barbara Bowers, Kathy Charmaz, and Adele E. Clarke. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 25(1), 128–130. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1548-1387.2010.01143.x>
- Jamroz, U., & Lawonk, K. (2017). The multiple dimensions of consumption values in ecotourism. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 11, 18-34. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCTHR-09-2015-0114>
- LaDonna, K. A., Artino, A. R., & Balmer, D. F. (2021). Beyond the Guise of Saturation: Rigor and Qualitative Interview Data. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, 13(5), 607–611. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-21-00752.1>
- Lam, L., Lee, D., & Shiu, A. (2014). The dynamic process of adherence to a renal therapeutic regimen: perspectives of patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 51(6), 908-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2013.10.012>.
- Ligita, T., Harvey, N., Wicking, K., Nurjannah, I., & Francis, K. (2019). A practical example of using theoretical sampling throughout a grounded theory study. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 20(1), 116–126. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/qrj-07-2019-0059>
- Lebni, J. Y., Khorami, F., Azar, F. E. F., Khosravi, B., Safari, H., & Ziapour, A. (2020). Experiences of rural women with damages resulting from an earthquake in Iran: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08752-z>
- Low, J. (2019). A Pragmatic Definition of the Concept of Theoretical Saturation. *Sociological Focus*, 52(2), 131–139. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/00380237.2018.1544514>
- Mohajan, D., & Mohajan, H. K. (2023). *Families of Grounded Theory: A Theoretical Structure for Novel Researchers*. 2(3), 56–65. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.56397/sssh.2023.03.08>
- Moorley, C., & Cathala, X. (2019). How to appraise qualitative research. *Evidence-Based Nursing*, 22(1), 10–13. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1136/ebnurs-2018-103044>
- Naderifar, M., Goli, H., & Ghaljaie, F. (2017). Snowball Sampling: A Purposeful Method of Sampling in Qualitative Research. *Strides in Development of Medical Education*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5812/sdme.67670>
- Plakoyiannaki, E., & Budhwar, P. (2021). From Convention to Alternatives: Rethinking Qualitative Research in Management Scholarship. *British Journal of Management*, 32(1), 3–6. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12464>
- Nelson, G., Macnaughton, E., & Goering, P. (2015). What qualitative research can contribute to a randomized controlled trial of a complex community intervention. *Contemporary clinical trials*, 45 Pt B, 377-384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2015.10.007>
- Oostrom, J., Melchers, K., Ingold, P., & Kleinmann, M. (2015). Why Do Situational Interviews Predict Performance? Is it Saying How You Would Behave or Knowing How You Should Behave?. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 31, 279 - 291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-015-9410-0>
- O'Connor, E., Moore, M., Cullen, W., & Cantillon, P. (2017). A qualitative study of undergraduate clerkships in the intensive care unit: It's a brand new world. *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 6(3), 173–181. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-017-0349-x>
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful Sampling for Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis in Mixed Method Implementation

- Research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health*, 42(5), 533–544.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>
- Plakoyiannaki, E., & Budhwar, P. (2021). From Convention to Alternatives: Rethinking Qualitative Research in Management Scholarship. *British Journal of Management*, 32(1), 3–6.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12464>
- Qureshi, H. (2018). Theoretical Sampling in Qualitative Research: A Multi-Layered Nested Sampling Scheme. *International Journal of Contemporary Research and Review*.  
<https://doi.org/10.15520/IJCRR/2018/9/08/576>.
- Robinson, O. J. (2014). Sampling in Interview-Based Qualitative Research: A Theoretical and Practical Guide. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 11(1), 25–41.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2013.801543>
- Roystonn, K., Teh, W. C., Samari, E., Cetty, L., Devi, F., Shahwan, S., Chandwani, N., & Subramaniam, M. (2021). Analysis and Interpretation of Metaphors: Exploring Young Adults' Subjective Experiences With Depression. *Qualitative Health Research*, 31(8), 1437–1447.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211004104>
- Sedano, T., Ralph, P., & Péraire, C. (2017). *Lessons Learned from an Extended Participant Observation Grounded Theory Study*.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1109/cesi.2017.2>
- van Rijnsoever, F. J. (2017). (I Can't Get No) Saturation: A simulation and guidelines for sample sizes in qualitative research. *PLOS ONE*, 12(7), e0181689–e0181689.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181689>
- Weed, M. (2017). Capturing the essence of grounded theory: the importance of understanding commonalities and variants. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 9(1), 149–156.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676x.2016.1251701>
- Yang, L., Qi, L., & Zhang, B. (2022). Concepts and evaluation of saturation in qualitative research. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 30(3), 511–521.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3724/sp.j.1042.2022.00511>